## ROLAND P. MERKEL, P.S.C.

ATTORNEY AT LAW AND MEDIATOR

December 1, 2003

Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615 1000 8 2003

Re:

Charles T. Latko, Jr. And Lois G. Latko v Taylor County RECC

Case No. 2003-00395

Dear Folks:

Respectfully I request the enclosed *Response of the Complainants* be filed and considered in the above matter. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Roland P. Merkel

enclosure

III C 2 1003

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. 2003-00395

IN RE THE MATTER OF: CHARLES T. LATKO, JR. and LOIS G. LATKO

**COMPLAINANTS** 

v.

TAYLOR COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

RESPONDENT

#### RESPONSE OF THE COMPLAINANTS

Come now the Complainants, by counsel, and for their Response to the Answer of the Respondent, states as follows:

- 1. "All such installations" on the subject property had <u>not</u>, according to the Complainants, "existed in its present state since March 1983".
- A. The Latkos purchased their property in 1988. From and after that time 4 new residences within one block of their property had been erected and serviced by electric and telephone lines, and cable television service came into being for this area. This added several new lines to the service.
- B. There existed <u>no</u> guy wires across the Latko property until <u>after</u> they purchased the land.
- 2. Re: Field Visit Report of John Land: March 6, 2003
- A. My clients advise that when the subject "creek pole" was relocated 6 feet back from the edge of the creek in 1983, it was within the easement on the Sam Cox property, leaving ample room within the same easement to relocate the pole again. No additional land

need be burdened with a "new" easement in order to move the pole away from the creek.

- B. The Latkos believe the shortest distance from the "creek pole" to the roadway is 45 feet, while the lines run "perpendicular" across the Cox property to the next pole a distance of about 75 feet; that the burden of the current easement would be no greater on the Cox property, and in fact, such would be lessened, by moving the "creek pole" away from the creek, toward the roadway, thereby shortening the span of the use of the Cox property.
- C. When Respondent stated "The other three options of relocating the pole away from the creek were not possible at this time. This was due to the landowner, Mr. Sam Cox, refusing to give this utility an easement in an effort to relocate this pole", such statement completely ignores the fact that Taylor County RECC, for the public good, may exercise its authority of Eminent Domain, particularly under KRS 416.130 and 416.140. To state they cannot obtain an easement due to a landowner's failure to cooperate, misrepresents the range of solutions available. If a new easement is the best solution (as three of the "options" seem to indicate) then it is in the public interest for Taylor County RECC to exercise Eminent Domain authority, despite the reluctance of Mr. Cox.
- D. Although Mr. Land reported he saw no "imminent harm", such statement was tempered by his also stating "But I cannot determine whether erosion of the creek bank where this pole is located has progressed over time or has occurred more recently due to additional flow in this stream during inclement weather. As this creek bank continues to erode this pole will become unstable in the present location."

Mr. Land appears to agree: (1) the creek bank has undergone erosion, (2) will continue to undergo erosion, and (3) the pole will at some point have to be moved. The

question remains: Why wait for potential disaster and harm to individuals? The pole should be moved now.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a letter from Lois Latko to then Judge Waddle, dated July 15, 1998, evidencing the reported amount of erosion that occurred in a short 2-year time span.

#### 3. Re: The Investigation by Crystal Davis: February 21, 2003

Ms. Davis inspected for <u>environmental</u> violations, in particular, matters pertaining to water quality. She made inspection for matters that were within the specific jurisdiction of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet. Her findings in no way pertain to the electrical or safety hazards posed by the current situation.

While she gave her general comments, Ms. Davis did not check off any of the boxes on her form to indicate whether or not violations were observed.

The letter of Sara Gold Sproles (February 24, 2003) states "...no water quality violations were noted." She also confirmed the present existence of a continuing process of erosion: "The area below the county bridge appears to be eroding."

#### 4. The Distance Between the "Creek Pole" and the Creek

The Latkos report that on November 19, 2003 they measured the distance between the "creek pole" and the edge of the creek as 38 inches. Compare this to the March 27, 2003 email from Mike Skaggs to John Land, where Mr. Skaggs reported the distance at that time to be "approximately 4"."

#### 5. Additional Problems

The Latkos report that additional electrical power surge problems affecting their property were manifested on August 4, August 22, and September 22, 2003, damaging 2 previously replaced

electric appliances.

Respectfully submitted,

Roland P. Merkel

Roland P. Merkel, P.S.C. 2333 Alexandria Drive Lexington, KY 40504

(859) 263-1123

Attorney for Complainants

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify a true copy of the above and foregoing was mailed, proper postage prepaid, on December 2, 2003 to:

Hon. Robert Spragens, Jr. Spragens, Smith & Higden, P.S.C. 15 Court Square P. O. Box 681 Lebanon, KY 40033

Roland P. Merkel

July 15, 98 ALL-STATE LEGAL SUPPLY CO. Memo Judge Waddle Called Judge I Waddle. he twined all information Head of Mounter Live Peter Wolf too Engineer Diot # 4 Hwy engineer 634 E. Dyne Hwy #304 E Town, Ky 42701 203 Mr Court with Such #4 Campulellowers has 1818 How & Charles Fatho · Manne ville Rd Mannes ville forma for Flood disaster heep Wede was The ence in the morning is deing send to you so that we have the assisted by Seo. Wilson and an application can be made to FEMA. for flood disaster help and to mitigate from future flood damage" by receiving proceeding minder from continuous flooding and stronger of our property. Ment of erosion: REBY In the past 2 yrs the volume of water and strong Jundertow Coming throughthe road tile (alvet) along side of our house his washed away 10 st of our bank for a distance of 300 st along our property and this broken up a concrete Hock wall which we had begun to full in Mente Stop further errosion along the creek-We have repeaced the Erech bank

with many tons of creek rock, boulders and Concrete Runks - all of which Continuosly wash away with Each rain. and each Storm causes more flood daninge repair en our soone security income. We desparately med a buck head along our stoure and as the opening of this before it reaches our property. This volume of water originates from the sidge top of Spurlington Kood (Hoy 744). By the time it gots to the bottom of the hill, where I am located on #337 (Bradfordeville Ra) it has the speed and dangerous underlow of White water in the smallest of rain Storms. This water flushes across our back and side yard not only because of this flooding condition, but also because the Highway # 337 across the front of our property tilts down into our yard allowing all Highway water to deimp into our property O have suclosed stolos of the rainwater making

(3) Crested waves as it passes through the ro.
tile alongside my home and of the washed
away bank which is now only 20 ft from
my house.

A market see

It is imperature that we get help before our home source further endangered.

I have received home holp in the past from the State Huy hope within their right gury but this claiming is now far beyond than help.

Will you please schedule George Wilson to assess and genesent our case to Forma or any other government agency who may be able to keep us.

Thank you senceuly Joi Locks (500) 465- 9070